

## I. Introduction.

A linear regression model assumes that the regression function  $E[Y|X]$  is linear in the inputs  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ . Reminder:  $E[Y|X] = \varphi(x)$ .

## II. Linear Regression Models and Least Squares.

We have an input vector  $X \in \mathbb{R}^P$  and we want to predict an output  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Linear regression model:  $Y = f(x) + \varepsilon$  where  $f(x) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p x_j \beta_j$  and  $E[\varepsilon] = 0$ . The coefficients  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$  are unknown and the variables  $(x_j)$  can come from different sources: quantitative inputs, transformation of quantitative inputs ( $\log, \sqrt{\cdot}, \cdot^2$ ), ... The model is linear in the parameters.

Data: Collect  $(y_1, x_1), \dots, (y_n, x_n)$  where  $\forall i \in [n] y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^P$ .

From those data we estimate  $\hat{\beta} := (\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)$  (in TL we "learn").

How? Minimize the residual sum of squares:  $\hat{\beta}_{LS} \in \arg\min \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\hat{\beta}\|_2^2$ .

$RSS(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n \times (p+1)} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^p x_{ij} \beta_j)^2$ . How to minimize? Matrix notation!

$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$ , matrix with each row being an input vector  $x_i$  (with 1 in first position).

$y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector of outputs and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$  is the parameter to "learn".

$\hookrightarrow RSS(\beta) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\beta)$  and  $\frac{\partial RSS}{\partial \beta}(\beta) = -2\mathbf{x}^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\beta)$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 RSS}{\partial \beta^2}(\beta) = 2\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{x}$ .

$\hookrightarrow$  If  $X$  is full rank (ie  $\text{rank}(X) = p+1$ ) then  $\text{rank}(X^T X) = p+1$  and  $X^T X$  invertible.

Moreover  $X^T X$  invertible ensures  $X^T X$  is positive definite ( $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}, u^T X^T X u = \|Xu\|^2 > 0$ ).

Hessian positive-definite ensures convexity of the function. Hence  $RSS$  is convex in  $\beta$ .

This implies that any critical point is the global minimizer. Hence to

minimize  $RSS$  it suffices to find  $\hat{\beta}$  s.t.  $RSS(\hat{\beta}_{LS}) = 0$  ie  $X^T X \hat{\beta}_{LS} = X^T Y$ .

$\hookrightarrow \hat{\beta}_{LS} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ . where  $Y = X\beta^* + \varepsilon$ .

In the predicted value at an input vector  $x_{n+1}$  is  $(1, x_{n+1,1}, \dots, x_{n+1,p})^T \hat{\beta}$ .

$\hookrightarrow$  The fitted values at the training inputs are  $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ .

What happens if  $X^T X$  is not invertible?

$\hookrightarrow$  Multicollinearity: one predictor is a linear combination of the others  $\rightarrow$  remove it.

$\hookrightarrow$  High-dimension:  $p+1 > n \rightarrow$  Regularization techniques.

What happens when mild multicollinearity: predictors have close to exact linear relationship.

$\hookrightarrow$  LS estimates for  $\beta_j$  is well defined but have large variance  $\rightarrow$  Regularization.

$\hookrightarrow$  Ridge regression for example.

1. Gauss-Markov theorem (Why  $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$  and not minimizing another criterion?)

The least squares estimate  $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$  has the smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimates.

Estimation of any linear combination of the parameters:  $\theta = a^T \beta$ .

$\hookrightarrow \hat{\theta}_{LS} = a^T \hat{\beta}_{LS} = a^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \rightarrow E[a^T \hat{\beta}_{LS}] = a^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[Y] = a^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta = a^T \beta$ .

$\hookrightarrow$  If we have any other linear estimator  $\tilde{\theta} = c^T Y$  that is unbiased ( $E[\tilde{\theta}] = c^T \beta$ ):

$$W(\hat{\theta}_{LS}) \leq W(\tilde{\theta})$$

Date:

For any estimator  $\tilde{\theta}$  of  $\theta^*$ :  $\text{PSE}(\tilde{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma^2}[(\tilde{\theta} - \theta^*)^2] = W_{\theta^*}(\tilde{\theta}) + (\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}] - \theta^*)^2$ .  
↳ Variance + Squared bias. Gauss-Markov  $\rightarrow$  The LS estimator has the smallest PSE among all unbiased linear estimators.

↳ However we may find a biased estimator with smaller PSE.

↳ Add a little bias for a huge reduction in variance.

↳ Any estimator that shrinks the coefficients of the LS estimator is biased.

### III. Shrinkage Methods

#### 1. Ridge Regression.

$$\hat{\beta}_\lambda^R \in \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2. \quad (\text{We omit } \beta_0 \text{ in the penalty}).$$

Idea: When there is multicollinearity, the coefficients of the parameter are poorly determined by the OLS estimator. A wildly large positive coefficient on one variable can be canceled by a similarly large negative coefficient on its correlated cousin.

Ridge imposes a size constraint on the coefficients  $\rightarrow$  reduces this problem.

How to find  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda^R$ ?  $\rightarrow$  objective is differentiable.

$$\text{RSS}(\beta) = (y - X\beta)^T(y - X\beta) + \lambda \beta^T \beta \rightarrow \frac{\partial \text{RSS}}{\partial \beta}(\beta) = -2X^T(y - X\beta) + 2\lambda \beta.$$

$\frac{\partial^2 \text{RSS}}{\partial \beta^2}(\beta) = 2(X^T X + \lambda I_p)$  is positive definite because  $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^p: 2u^T X^T X u + 2\lambda u^T u > 0$ .

$\beta \mapsto \text{RSS}(\beta)$  is convex and thus  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda^R$  satisfies  $\frac{\partial \text{RSS}}{\partial \beta}(\hat{\beta}_\lambda^R) = 0 \rightarrow \hat{\beta}_\lambda^R = (X^T X + \lambda I_p)^{-1} X^T y$ .

#### 2. Lasso.

$$\hat{\beta}_\lambda^L \in \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1 \quad (\text{we omit } \beta_0 \text{ in the penalty}).$$

↳ No closed form. Quadratic programming problem. Efficient algorithms with same computational cost as for ridge.